



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
09/802,114	03/08/2001	Daniel Mattias Larsson	600189.95	5582				
61834 DREIER LLP 499 PARK AVE NEW YORK, NY 10022	7590 05/15/2007		<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
EXAMINER								
LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>2161</td><td></td></tr></table>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2161		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
2161								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>05/15/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	05/15/2007	PAPER	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
05/15/2007	PAPER							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/802,114	Applicant(s) LARSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Etienne P LeRoux	Art Unit 2161	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 April 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-14 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-14 and 17-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Status

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12-14 and 17-20 are pending. Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 15, 16, 21 and 22 have been cancelled. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12-14 and 17-20 are rejected as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 6,643,641 (Snyder), hereafter Snyder in view of Pub No US 2002/0078043 (Pass et al), hereafter Pass.

Claims 1, 7, 12 and 18:

Snyder discloses:

parsing a set of pages for a plurality of text indexable elements [Snyder: web crawler 60, Fig 1, col 8, lines 35-40]

storing the plurality of text indexable elements in a computer readable medium as a plurality of records [Snyder: database 62, Fig 1]

Snyder discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above but does not disclose parsing a set of pages for a plurality of non-text indexable elements. Pass discloses parsing a set of pages for a plurality of non-text indexable elements [abstract]. It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Snyder to include parsing a set of pages for a plurality of non-text indexable elements as taught by Pass for the purpose of retrieving images in in an efficient, useful and timely manner [Pass, paragraph 3]

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses storing the plurality of text indexable elements in a computer readable medium as a plurality of records [Snyder, buffer memory 92, Fig 1, col 9, lines 55-60]

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses receiving the search query to request a stored record, the search query received from a user across a networked connection [Pass, Fig 9, step 910, paragraph 79, paragraph 65]

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses searching said plurality of records to determine text and non-text indexable elements that correspond to the search query [Pass, Fig 9, step 910, paragraph 79]

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses transmitting at least one text element representation of said query result to a user across the networked connection [Pass, Fig 9, step 960, paragraph 65]

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses transmitting at least one non-text element representation of said query result to said user across the networked connection [Pass, Fig 9, step 960]

Claims 2, 8, 13 and 19:

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses wherein the object comprises an image [Pass; title]

Art Unit: 2161

Claims 3, 9, 14 and 20:

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses wherein the record comprises a Web page
[Pass; paragraph 65, col 9, lines 5-55]

Claims 6 and 17:

The combination of Snyder and Pass discloses wherein the object comprises a sound file
[Pass; paragraph 66]

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/9/2007 have been fully considered but are moot due to above new grounds of rejection which are required because applicant amended the claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne P LeRoux whose telephone number is (571) 272-4022. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-1800.

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Etienne LeRoux

5/10/2007


ETIENNE LEROUX
PRIMARY EXAMINER